## High Performance Coronagraphy for Large Segmented Apertures

Olivier Guyon – oliv.guyon@gmail.com University of Arizona Subaru Telescope

Sept 22, GSFC



Scientific motivation

Theory: Can coronagraphs work on segmented apertures ?

The WFIRST success story

Coronagraph concepts for segmented apertures

PIAACMC

Wavefront control

Large ground-based segmented apertures

Space/Ground complementarity

# Scientific Motivation: Large apertures will be segmented









# Why big apertures ?

Exoplanet imaging mission science return increases <u>very quickly</u> with aperture

## Efficiency & Yield

- Number of IWA-accessible planets goes as D<sup>3</sup>
- Exposure time required to reach given SNR goes as D<sup>-4</sup> for most lowmass planets (zodi+exozodi → background-limited detection)

### **Characterization**

- Access to longer wavelength spectroscopy,  $\lambda_{_{max}} \sim D$
- Light can be sliced in multiple bins: spectral resolution, time domain, polarization
- Better astrometry  $\rightarrow$  better orbits, dynamical masses
- Resolving (time-variable) structures in exozodi

## <u>Data quality</u>

- Higher angular resolution → less confusion between multiple planets, exozodi clumps
- More light  $\rightarrow$  better PSF calibration

## **Diversity**

• Larger aperture allows habitable planets to be observed around a wider range of stellar types

8m

2m

# Large aperture + high contrast $\rightarrow$ habitable planets can be imaged around a wide range of spectral types



# Science vs. aperture: how does performance scale with aperture ?



Telescope diameter

What does coronagraph theory tell us about segmented apertures ?

# Coronagraphy limits derived from complex amplitude linearity



"Theoretical Limits of Extrasolar Terrestrial Planet Detection with Coronagraphs" Guyon et al. 2006

2D pupil mapped into 1D vector



Fig. 5.— Graphical representation of the coronagraph optimization problem.



## **Important findings: Contrast/IWA/throughput limit**

FULL suppression of coherence point source possible, with 100% throughput coronagraph and IWA ~ 0.5 I/D

Theoretical throughput curve =  $(1-Airy)^2$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Not a strong link between segmented and full aperture

Strong fundamental limit imposed by stellar angular size



Sun-like star at 10pc, as seen in visible light by 10m telescope



Fig. 9.— Upper limit on the off-axis throughput of a coronagraph for different stellar radii.

## Important findings: The ideal coronagraph could conceptually be built with discrete optics



Fig. 10.— Example of a beam splitter-based coronagraphs with  $c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = 0$  (perfect rejection of the first 3 vectors  $M_i$ ) designed for a square aperture. The telescope pupil (top left) is decomposed in a series of individual subpupils (shown in the input vector on the right of the pupil) which undergo interferometric combinations through beam splitters. The coronagraph outputs isolates the first 3 modes found in an extended source, as shown in the bottom right: C (=  $M_0$ ), X (=  $M_1$ ) and Y (=  $M_2$ ). This coronagraph produces a  $4^{th}$  order null and therefore provides some immunity to stellar angular size. The same technique can be generalized to circular pupil and better sensitivity to stellar angular size (more vectors  $M_i$  isolated).

## Important findings: Science yield would be AMAZING !

8m telescope

Detection:

~1000 stars could be surveyed for habitable planets in 4 month of open shutter time

Characterization:

High SNR spectroscopy, access to near-IR



## The WFIRST success story

## History of coronagraphs on "unfriendly" apertures

#### The dark ages (~ 2000 $\rightarrow$ 2012 ) "Directly imaging habitable planets REQUIRES a monolithic unobstructed telescope"

 $\rightarrow$  TPF-C and smaller mission concept studies use off-axis telescopes

A few ideas for use of centrally obscured apertures emerge, but receive little attention

**2012, The AFTA challenge**: Designing a coronagraph for a centrally obscured aperture becomes a survival issue  $\rightarrow$  within a very short time, 3 credible options emerge (SPC, HLC, PIAACMC)

BUT, it appears that adapting coronagraphs to centrally obscured aperture comes at a high performance cost:

- SPC further looses throughput due to spiders and central obscuration

- HLC requires large DM stroke and undersized Lyot stop to cancel light diffracted by spiders  $\rightarrow$  efficiency loss

 $\rightarrow$  risk of poor performance on segmented apertures ?





# Coronagraph concepts for segmented apertures

## Apodized Pupil Lyot Coronagraph (APLC) is compatible with segmented apertures





Simulated visible light image of a solar system twin at 13 pc

Combines pupil binary apodization and opaque focal plane mask IWA = 3.6 I/D, contrast ~1e-10 in broadband 28% throughput is similar to WFIRST-AFTA

## Wavefront control mitigates diffracted light by segments



HLC uses two deformable mirrors to cancel diffraction by WFIRST telescope spiders by several orders of magnitude



Limitations: DM stroke, some efficiency loss, limited wavelength coverage (10-20% ?)

# Approaches that are inherently insensitive to aperture geometry exit (no performance loss induced by segmentation)



#### Visible nulling coronagraph (VNC)

Destructive interference between shifted copies of the pupil

Shift can be integer multiple of segments

#### PIAACMC

Uses lossless apodization (beam shaping) + diffractive focal plane mask

Near-full transmission and small IWA

# PIAACMC → enhanced science return thanks to small IWA (Kern et al. 2015)





Case	Output channel	wavelength (nm)	band (%)	# pixels	# RV char day e HLC	acterizatio in le ach (min, SPC	ns ss than 1 max) PIAA
1	imager	465	10	4.9	15	11	76
2	imager	565	10	4.9	15	11	87
3	imager	835	10	4.9	7	5	42
4	imager	670	18	4.9	16	13	85
5	imager	770	18	4.9	10	7	61
6	imager	890	18	4.9	5	5	36
7	IFS	670	1.4	4.9	4	2	39
8	IFS	770	1.4	4.9	2	1	30
9	IFS	890	1.4	4.9	0	0	14

Single polarization for each case, 0.4 mas jitter, post-processing gain = 1/30 Assumes planet location is known

# Lab efforts for WFC/coronagraphy on segmented apertures at Univ. of Arizona and Space Telescope



Space Telescope Science Institute lab

## **PIAACMC concept**



#### Phase Induced Amplitude Apodized Complex Mask Coronagraph (PIAACMC)

Achieves starlight suppression by combining:

Lossless apodization with aspheric optics (lenses or mirrors) Creates PSF with weak Airy rings

#### **Focal plane mask**

complex amplitude -1<t<0 Induces destructive interference inside downstream pupil

#### Lyot Stop Blocks starlin

Blocks starlight



PIAACMC does not care about pupil geometry: segements, spiders, central obstruction OK

# Phase-Induced Amplitude Apodization Complex Mask Coronagraph (PIAACMC)



Uses lenses or mirrors for lossless beam apodization

## PIAA testbed at NASA JPL : lab results demonstrate PIAA's high efficiency and small IWA (B. Kern, O. Guyon et al.) now moving to PIAACMC



2-4 I/D dark hole, high system throughput





#### (largely) lossless apodization

Creates a PSF with weak Airy rings

#### Focal plane mask: -1<t<0

Induces destructive interference

inside downstream pupil



#### (largely) lossless apodization

Creates a PSF with weak Airy rings

### Focal plane mask: -1<t<0

Induces destructive interference

inside downstream pupil

## Phase Induced Amplitude Apodized Complex Mask Coronagraph (PIAACMC)



Lyot stop

Blocks starlight

### (largely) lossless apodization

Creates a PSF with weak Airy rings

### Focal plane mask: -1<t<0

Induces destructive interference inside downstream pupil

#### Lyot stop

Blocks starlight

#### **Inverse PIAA (optional)**

Recovers Airy PSF over wide field



# **PIAACMC design process**



## Stellar leak and focal plane mask design on AFTA



Radially averaged (360 deg) contrast, 10% band around 550nm

# Focal plane mask (vertical scale amplified) for 1e-9 raw contrast, 1.3 I/D IWA (WFIRST visible light mask)

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0

-0.1

-0.2

-0.3

2500

1500

¥000

Sag within -/+ 0.3 um 35 rings, 154.7 um diameter (2.2 um wide rings)

500

1000

1500

## **PIAACMC focal plane mask manufacturing**



## PIAACMC design for 12m segmented telescope IWA = 1.2 I/D, throughput = 70% (similar to WFIRST-PIAACMC)

Polychromatic diffraction propagation in AFTA-C PIAACMC optical configuration Reflective focal plane mask

### FLAT DEFORMABLE MIRRORS (no ACAD)





Focal plane mask redirects starlight to LOWFS (reflected by Lyot stop) 70% of planet light goes through Lyot stops to science image



planet light



starlight (very faint)

## **Stellar PSF dominated by stellar angular size**

Further optimization of focal plane mask and WFC (ACAD ?) will reduce leaks due to stellar angular size. This process improved contrast by 15x between PIAACMC gen2 and PIAACMC gen3 on AFTA.



Inner spot+rings due to stellar angular size, at few 1e-9 contrast in 2-4 I/D range

6 small circular spots at 7 I/D due to aperture geometry (side lobes)

This component is subtracted from image in next slide, assuming photon-noise limit

4.19e-11 1.25e-10 2.94e-10 6.26e-10 1.30e-09 2.63e-09 5.27e-09 1.06e-08 2.12e-08

# Simulated images of solar system twin – 12m telescope, 2 day exposure



-3.84e-10 -7.14e-11 4.56e-10 1.19e-09 2.14e-09 3.29e-09 4.65e-09 6.23e-09 8.01e-09

# PIAACMC's small IWA enable near-IR spectroscopy of exoEarths with HDST's 12m aperture



Simulated near-IR (1600nm, 20% band) image of a solar system twin at a distance of 13.5pc as seen by a 12m HDST with a 2 day exposure. The pupil geometry adopted for this simulation is shown in the lower right. A Phase-Induced Amplitude Apodization Complex Amplitude Coronagraph (PIAACMC), offering small IWA (1.25 I/D), is used here to overcome the larger angular resolution at longer wavelength. Earth, at 2.65 I/D separation, is largely unattenuated, while Venus, at 1.22 I/D, is partially attenuated by the coronagraph mask. At this wavelength, the wavefront control system (assumed here to use 64x64 actuator deformable mirrors) offers a larger high contrast field of view, allowing Saturn to be imaged in reflected light. This simulation assumes PSF subtraction to photon noise sensitivity. In the stellar image prior to PSF subtraction, the largest light contribution near the coronagraph IWA is due to finite stellar angular size (0.77 mas diameter stellar disk).

## **Wavefront control**

## **Ultra-stability: limiting segment vibrations**

Raw contrast in the 1e-9 to 1e-10 range requires ~10pm stability of combined telescope and WFC.

**Continuous speckle control** can compensate and calibrate slow thermal drifts, but vibration must be addressed separately (too fast for speckle control)

Vibration and fast WF changes can be addressed with multi-tiered approach, some combination of :

- Using bright starlight for fast sensing of a few modes *[example: LOWFS concept on WFIRST and SCExAO]*
- Picometer laser metrology [SIM and non-NASA heritage]
- Vibration suppression / isolation *[industry-developed non-contact isolation]*

## LDFC ↔ LOWFS



(iii) Tilt

#### (b) On-sky

(i) Reference



(iv) Focus



#### **Response Matrix**

#### **Residuals**





Ref: Singh et al. 2015 (in prep)

# Linear Dark Field Control (LDFC)

The series of images below shows intensity as a function of spatial coordinate (x,y) and wavelength (lambda) obtained with the PIAA coronagraph at the JPL high contrast imaging testbed.

The **DARK FIELD (DF)** is the area in (x,y,lambda) space over which starlight is removed. The **BRIGHT FIELD (BF)** is the area outside the dark field.



## Linear Dark Field Control (LDFC)

Speckle intensity in the DF are a non-linear function of wavefront errors  $\rightarrow$  current wavefront control technique uses several images (each obtained with a different DM shape) and a non-linear reconstruction algorithm (for example, Electric Field Conjugation – EFC)

Speckle intensity in the BF are linearly coupled to wavefront errors  $\rightarrow$  we have developed a new control scheme using BF light to freeze the wavefront and therefore prevent light from appearing inside the DF



## **LDFC** steps



#### STEPS:

- Take an image
- Subtract reference: this is our signal
- Multiply signal by reconstruction (control) matrix
- Apply DM correction

Linear part (keep)

Non-linear part (ignore)

## LDFC vs. EFC

LDFC improves wavefront control loop speed by ~20x (more starlight is used for the measurement) and does not require DM modulation. Linear loop is simpler, more robust that state of the art.

#### EFC

- Requires ≈4 images
- Competes with science measurement: dark field needs to be broken
- Time aliasing effects and confusion between incoherent residual and time-variable coherent residual
- Sensitive to (exo)zodi unless probes are large
- Sensitive to dark current and readout noise unless probes are large
- Sensing relies on DM calibration and system model
- Difficult to measure/verify G-matrix
- Only uses  $\approx 15\%$  spectral band
- Only uses dark field area
- Single polarization
- Non-linear loop (convergence, computing power)

#### LDFC

- Single image
- Maintains dark field during measurement: 100% duty cycle
- More robust against temporal effects: speckle variations have small negative effect on loop
- Insensitive to (exo)zodi
- Robust against dark current and readout noise (photon noise > readout noise)
- Sensing relies on camera calibration
- Response matrix obtained from linear measurements
- Can use pprox 100% spectral band
- Can use whole focal plane (if combined with EFC)
- Dual polarization (if detector(s) allow)
- Linear loop: simple matrix multiplication

# **Application to NASA missions**

We assume here:

- 2.4m telescope, 10% efficiency, 400nm-900nm LDFC bandwidth
- 1e-9 contrast dark field speckle sensing,  $m_V = 5$  star
- 1e-8 incoherent background (zodi + exozodi + detector)

0.2 ph/sec/speckle, 2ph/sec for background.

Bright speckle level	Relative modulation	Absolute change	1mn SNR	Camera dynamical range
1e-4 (20000 ph/sec)	0.6%	6.3e-7 (127 ph/sec)	7.0	1e5
1e-5 (2000 ph/sec)	2%	2.01e-7 (40.2 ph/sec)	7.0	1e4
1e-6 (200 ph/sec)	6%	6.43e-8 (12.86 ph/sec)	7.0	1000
1e-7 (20 ph/sec)	21%	2.1e-8 (4.2 ph/sec)	6.9	100
1e-8 (2 ph/sec)	73%	7.3e-9 (1.46 ph/sec)	5.65	10
1e-9 (0.2 ph/sec)	300%	3e-9 (0.6 ph/sec)	3.13	1

Case study for WFIRST:

LDFC control bandwidth is 10mn, compared to several hr for state of the art EFC

### Key benefits:

- LDFC enables close loop aberration control on science targets, as opposed to the current "set and forget" scheme → deeper contrast can be maintained, and system can be more resilient to small wavefront changes
- LDFC is also a powerful aid to PSF calibration. During science exposures, LDFC images provide live telemetry of wavefront changes.

# LDFC is particularly well suited to track cophasing errors on a segmented aperture, using diffraction features created by segments

## **Observation mode**

EFC + LDFC calibration on bright source, LDFC on science target:

- (1) Perform EFC on bright target
- (2) Record bright speckles after EFC converges: this is the reference
- (3) Modulate DM actuators, record response matrix
- (4) Point to "faint" science target
- (5) Close LDFC loop to match reference
- (6) Optional: Run slow EFC in background, while LDFC is running

LDFC stability condition

Holding bright speckles static (LDFC) will maintain dark hole as long as relationship between bright and dark speckles is constant (analogous to G-matrix stability requirement): this is likely to hold for long periods of time

## **Large Ground-based segmented apertures**

# TMT coronagraph design for 1 I/D IWA





# **PIAACMC lens 1 front surface (CaF2)**

# **PIAACMC lens 2 front surface (CaF2)**

# Post focal plane mask "pupil"













PSF at 1600nm 3e-9 contrast in 1.2-8 I/D 80% off-axis throughput 1.2 I/D IWA **CaF2** lenses SiO2 mask

5.42e-09 2.17e-08 4.91e-08 8.72e-08 1.37e-07 1.97e-07 2.67e-07 3.50e-07 4.42e-07

## **Space / Ground complementarity**

## Most exciting science case: spectroscopic characterization of Earth-sized planets with TMT



## Subaru Coronagraphic Extreme AO (SCExAO)



High contrast imaging instrument optimized for very small inner working angle (1  $\lambda$ /D)

Uses advanced technologies, continuously evolves to take advantage of new concepts, detectors etc...

Plans for SCExAO to become visitor instrument on TMT under study → will submit to TMT technical and scientific proposal

#### Wavefront sensing:

- Non-modulated pyramid WFS (VIS)
- Coronagraphic low order wavefront sensor (IR) for noncommon tip/tilt errors
- Near-IR speckle control

#### 2k MEMS DM

Numerous **coronagraphs** – PIAA, Vector Vortex, 4QPM, 8OPM, shaped pupil (IR)

Visible Aperture Masking Polarimetric Interferometer for Resolving Exoplanetory Signatures (VAMPIRES) (VIS)

Fibered Imager for a Single Telescope (FIRST) (VIS) Fourier Lucky imaging (VIS)

Broadband diffraction limited internal cal. Source + phase turbulence simulator





# How SCExAO achieves high contrast

### (1) Small IWA, high throughput Coronagraphy

 $\rightarrow$  removes diffraction (Airy rings), transmits r>1 I/D region

### (2) Extreme-AO with fast diffractionlimited WFS

 $\rightarrow$  removes wavefront errors

### (3) Near-IR LOWFS

 $\rightarrow$  keeps star centered on coronagraph and controls Focus, Astig, etc..

 $\rightarrow$  records residual WF errors to help process data

### (4) Fast Near-IR Speckle control

 $\rightarrow\,$  modulates, removes and calibrates residual speckles





Speckle nulling on-sky

# **Conclusions, path forward**

Exoplanet imaging science (yield <u>and</u> quality) increases steeply with aperture size. Large space telescope + coronagraph required for search of biomarkers on a sample of rocky planets in HZ of nearby stars

Two highest priority technologies:

**Internal coronagraphs** are compatible with segmented apertures. At least 2 concepts can be deployed on segmented aperture with little to no performance loss.

 $\rightarrow$  Need to continue / ramp up technology development effort for coronagraph and WFC on large space-based segmented apertures

→ Emulate/follow AFTA coronagraph process: simulation/science team evaluate designs, designers improve designs, lab demos with well-chosen milestones

A large segmented aperture for high contrast imaging requires a **stable ultra low-vibration primary mirror.** 

 $\rightarrow$  Need engineering study + scaled lab demos