## **Probe class missions - internal coronagraphs**

**Olivier Guyon** (University of Arizona / Subaru Telescope) With major contributions from:

- Michael Shao (DAViNCI team)
- Mark Clampin / Rick Lyon (EPIC / VNC team)
- John Trauger (ACCESS team)



### Probe-class mission <u>"secure" science goals</u> include:

(1) discovery and spectroscopic characterization of ~hundred giant planets

(2) mapping **exozodi clouds**, to better understand planetary systems achitectures, and prepare future flagship mission aimed at imaging Earth-like planets

(3) direct **mass measurement** for both planet and star when planet is also detected by RV

(4) technology and science precursor to flagship life-finder mission

(2)+(4) make a probe-class mission highly desirable to minimize risk and cost of future flagship.

Billion \$ question is: Will potentially habitable planets (Earths or SuperEarths) be imaged and characterized (spectroscopy) with a probe-class mission ?

... maybe is the current answer (see next slides).

## Performance Requirements (D=1.5m)<sub>1e-8</sub>

## Inner Working Angle, 10-9 Contrast and Sensitivity

D = 1.5m,  $\lambda = 500nm$ 

1 λ/D	1.5 λ/D	2 λ/D	2.5 λ/D	3 λ/D	3.5 λ/D	4 λ/D
(0.069")	(0.103")	(0.137")	(0.172")	(0.206")	(0.241")	(0.275")
2 152	94	52	29	26	20	11
4 35 574	1 10 514	4 435	3 348	1 287	1 219	1 160
22	15	8	7	2	2	2
102	49	26	18	7	5	3
778	718	639	552	491	423	364



1e-10

### Earth-like planet

Albedo = 0.3 1 Earth radius At 1AU-scaled HZ

#### **SuperEarth**

Same as above 2 Earth radius

#### **Giant planet**

Jupiter size Albedo = 0.3 At 5x HZ

## **Observatory Concepts & Strategies**

Previous probe-class mission concepts studies illustrate different strategies :

**ACCESS & EPIC** tend to focus on secure science goals, while allowing direct imaging of habitable planets when within reach of instrument performance

 $\rightarrow$  aimed at lower risk, cost, higher maturity

**PECO** makes direct imaging and characterization of habitable planets a core science goal.

- $\rightarrow$  allocates major fraction of observing time to few targets
- $\rightarrow$  requires 2  $\lambda/D$  coronagraphy at nearly full efficiency

**DAVINCI** makes direct imaging and characterization of habitable planets its primary science goal, by boldly adopting a diluted aperture configuration.

 $\rightarrow$  highest cost of the four Probe-class missions, but larger scientific payoff

The approach to this core question is the main driver to cost, complexity and technology readiness of probe-class exoplanet missions:

Direct imaging and characterization of habitable planets with probe scale mission requires significant technology development effort

## **Actively-corrected Coronagraph Concept for Exoplanet System Studies (ACCESS)**



- ACCESS is one of four medium-class exoplanet concepts selected by NASA for ASMCS studies
- Coronagraphic imaging and spectroscopy of exoplanetary systems in reflected starlight at visible wavelengths (450-900 nm) with a 1.5 meter unobscured telescope
- Study compared performance and readiness of four major coronagraph architectures
- Defined a conceptual space observatory • platform as the "level playing field" for comparisons among coronagraph types
- Also used laboratory coronagraph performance demonstrations on IPL's HCIT as another "level playing field" for coronagraph hardware readiness
- Evaluated science reach of a medium-class coronagraph mission
- Identified a class of scientifically compelling exoplanet mission concepts at high TRL.



shaped pupil ( 4  $\lambda/D$ 

vot  $(3-15 \lambda/D)$ 

10%

lvot ( $3 \lambda/D$ 

20%

## **Extrasolar Planetary Imaging Coronagraph (EPIC)**

### **EPIC Science Goals**

- Determine the properties of RV selected gas giant planets
- Characterize their atmospheres
- Investigate the diversity of planetary system architectures

Science Payload	Performance			
Telescope Optics	1.65 meter aperture off-axis primary			
Coronagraph Desigr	Visible Nulling Coronagraph - Instrument throughput: 18 - Instantaneous Bandpass: >	% >20%		
Science modes - Discovery - Discovery - Characterization	≥10 <sup>9</sup> contrast @ 2λ/D: 4.5"x4.5" F ≥10 <sup>7</sup> contrast @ 2λ/D: 10"x10" FC R=20 – 50 spectroscopy	OV OV (w/o SFA)		
- Discovery mode	Photon counting, 512x512 CCD detectors Readnoise: zero in photon counting mode Quantum Efficiency: 85% @ 700 nm			
Spacecraft	Performance			
Pointing - Via Spacecraft & fast steering mirror	Pointing Stability: 4 mas (3 σ) Coarse Pointing: 2.5" (3 σ) Abs. Pointing Knowledge: 5"			
Power	785 W			
Mass	1356 kg wet mass			
Orbit	Heliocentric, trailing Earth's orbit			
Launcher	EELV			
Mission Lifetime	5 years	THE SECOND		
Communications	Ka-Band via HGA, <0.5 Gb/Day X-Band via Omni			



### **Probe Class Mission Profile**

Visible nulling coronagraph: differential WFSC minimizes stressing requirements (i.e. thermal/mechanical stability) on telescope & spacecraft

Five-year mission on drift-away orbit Compatible with multiple launch vehicles Deep Impact/Kepler spacecraft bus Pointing Requirement: 4 mas (3σ) jitter

## Pupil Mapping Exoplanet Coronagraph Observer (PECO)



Characterization of planets (including Earths & SuperEarths) and dust in habitable zone

# Around 7 stars, Earth-analog can be detected and characterized at R=20

1.4m diameter off-axis telescope, 3 yr mission Uses high efficiency low IWA (2  $\lambda$ /D) PIAA coronagraph 0.4 – 0.9 micron spectral coverage / R~20, polarimetric imaging Active technology development program includes NASA JPL, NASA Ames, Subaru Telescope, Lockheed Martin







## **Dilute Aperture Visible Nulling Coronagraphic Imager (DAViNCI)**

## *IWA* = 38mas @800nm, 0.5um to 1.7um converage (25%BW) Science of an 8m telescope at the cost of a 2.5m telescope

Four 1.1m diameter telescopes inside of a 4.5m fairing. Nulling coronagraph.

Equal collecting area to a 2.2m telescope, but telescope costs much lower than a filled aperture on axis 2.2m telescope

Baseline = 2.2m (side of square), 4.2m tip to tip

Team X cost ~1.15 B total cost, includes launch vehicle and 5 years of mission operations. Cost roughly equal to a ~2.5m coronagraph

### **Science Case**

38 mas IWA @ 800nm (Inner Working angle ~25mas @ 500nm)

Exo-Earth science equal to  $\sim 8m 2\lambda/D$  coronagraph, or 76m occulter (vs 50m) at 166,000 vs 72,000 km.

Science instrument <u>**R~80**</u> spectrometer <u>**0.5um to 1.7um**</u>, 25%BW at a time.





## **Technology Status (1/2)**

### Starlight suppression system (more in 2 slides)

Goal: demonstrate contrast / IWA / throughput / spectral bandwidth performance in lab (see next slide)

#### Wavefront control

#### Deformable mirror technologies

Two technologies available: PMN+flexible membrane, or MEMS Never flown before, but no major fundamental problem foreseen

#### Wavefront control algorithms

Under active development Fundamental principles validated in labs, better than 1e-9 contrast validated at 4 I/D Has never flown before (on-board computing, automated operation ?)

#### Coronagraph

#### **Optics manufacturing**

Relaxed by wavefront control Currently available high quality optics suitable Specific components (PIAA mirrors, focal plane masks, beam combiners, fibers) demonstrated at or close to required

#### performance

Polychromatic design Polarization

### Detectors

Need near zero photon noise detector with high QE Technology exists in visible light (EMCCDs offer <e- RON with > 90% QE) But: further maturation required for flight validation

### **Telescope Pointing**

Requirements:

~mas RMS pointing error (= stellar angular size)

~0.1 to 0.3 mas calibration

OK with dedicated sensor free of non-common path error

0.1 mas closed loop demonstrated in PIAA air testbed @ Subaru, soon to be deployed at JPL

### **Telescope WF stability**

Requirement:

(@500nm, C=1e-9 speckle = 1.8pm pupil sine wave in phase)

Requirement per mode is the same for low order or high order mode, except within IWA (relaxed) ... but relaxed requirement for large IWA is a lure, as coronagraph then cannot sense the mode(s) !!! For 25 actuators across DM (490 modes)  $\rightarrow$  34 pm RMS within controlled frequencies

PASSIVE SYSTEM (or "Freeze & Forget" strategy adopted for TPF-C)  $\rightarrow$  hopelessely difficult Cannot maintain WF over hrs with "normal" telescope

Active system, with continuous WF control (similar to ground-based AO)  $\rightarrow$  much easier WF can be measured to required accuracy every few sec / min Need technology dev and tests (lots of experience in ground-based AO) Takes care of drifts but **VIBRATIONS NEED TO BE SMALL** 



Angular separation (arcsec)

## Conclusions

Current starlight suppression lab performance already enables imaging and low R spectroscopy of giant planets and exozodi with Probe-class mission.

Direct imaging and low resolution spectroscopy of potentially habitable planets is conceptually within reach of a probe-class mission, but requires further technology development.

Ultimate limit is due to limited collecting area (no need to go much below 1e-9 contrast on probe) Habitable planet imaging probe requires combination of performance characteristics that have been reached or approached individually on separate experiments/concepts:

1e-9 raw contrast (achieved with BLLC), better than 1e-10 calibration

Perf required at > 3  $\lambda$ /D has been reached ~10% or more bandwidth (achieved with BLLC)  $\rightarrow$  workable # of // channels

< 2  $\lambda$ /D IWA (achieved with PIAA, VNC & VVC get to below 2  $\lambda$ /D, PIAACMC gets to 0.64  $\lambda$ /D)

- Nearly 100% throughput at small IWA (PIAA[CMC] and VVC offer this)
- Better than 1 mas pointing calibration (achieved at Subaru testbed, and soon at JPL)

BUT, regardless of science goals, exoplanet imaging probe class mission includes never flown before hardware & techniques:

- DMs (several of them) —
- Wavefront control with coronagraph (onboard)
- Polychromatic high contrast wavefront control \_
- High stability telescope
- High precision pointing with coronagraph
- $\rightarrow$  System-level testbed and precursor(s) to probes could mitigate cost & risk

Probe scale mission would considerably mitigate cost & risk of flagship

BLLC: Band limited Lyot coronagraph PIAA: Phase induced amplitude apodization PIAACMC: PIAA- complex mask coronagraph VNC: Visible nullig coronagraph VVC: Vortex vector coronagraph