

The "missing" satellite problem: Implications from the Milky Way satellites recently discovered by Hyper Suprime-Cam Yoshihisa Suzuki¹

Masashi Chiba¹, Miho Ishigaki², Yutaka Komiyama³, Sakurako Okamoto², Masayuki Tanaka^{2,4}, Daisuke Homma², Kohei Hayashi⁵ 1 Astronomical Institute, Tohoku University, 2 National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 3 Hosei University for Advanced Studies, 5 National Institute of Technology, Sendai College

Summary

- Dwarf galaxies that survive around the Milky Way (MW) are key to test galaxy formation and cosmology
- We search for the new candidates with Hyper Suprime-Cam (HSC) mounted on the Subaru telescope
- Main Sequence Turn Off stars (MSTOs) are used to effectively search for new dwarf galaxies
- We have discovered a new dwarf galaxy candidate with r_{half-light} ~ 432 pc
- Spectroscopic follow-up campaign is ongoing to derive dynamical mass and chemical abundance

Introduction

O Dwarf galaxies : Unique stellar systems to test galaxy formation in the early universe and cosmology



Fainter dwarf galaxies are metal-poor and dark matter rich?



Observed dwarf galaxies are less? = missing satellite problem



Simulation based on Λ CDM model

[4] **Observation**

Detection of ultra faint dwarf galaxies (UFDs) can strongly constrain on both science cases Method Data

O HSC Subaru Strategic Program (HSC-SSP) Wide (~1,100 deg²) & Deep (*i*-band < 26.5) photometry O Traces: near MSTO stars : $0.25 < (g-i)_0 < 0.4$ We can obtain stars at a certain distance from the Sun, D_{\odot}

8



Results



Color Magnitude Diagram



O Physical parameters Age (Gyr) : 11.5 ± 0.26 [M/H] (dex) : -0.997±0.018 $r_{\rm h}$ (pc) : 432.32^{+64.35}_{-55.82}



Discovery of a new candidate of old and metal-poor system! **Discussion & Conclusion**



GC or UFD?

- GC \rightarrow $r_{\rm h}$ ~ a few pc (compact)
- UFD $\rightarrow r_{\rm h} \sim 100 \, {\rm pc}$: Our candidate is dwarf galaxy
- from morphology.

 $\times M_{\nu}$ is mainly influenced by bright stars (*i.e.*, RGB stars), resulting in a large uncertainty

Ongoing spectroscopic follow-up campaign [9]

Observation	PI	Target	instrument	remarks
S17B (1.0 night)	M. Chiba	Cetus III	DEIMOS (Keck)	bad weather
S18A (1.5 night)	M. Ishigaki	Virgo I	FOCAS (Subaru)	snowstorm totally cloudy
S18B (1.0 night)	M. Ishigaki	Cetus III	DEIMOS (Keck)	good
S19A (2.0 night)	M. Ishigaki	Virgo I	FOCAS (Subaru)	good
S20A (1.5 night)	M. Ishigaki	Virgo I/ <u>BootesIV</u>	FOCAS (Subaru)	bad weather
S24A (4.0 nights)	Y. Suzuki	Bootes IV	FOCAS (Subaru)	5/12(ToO), 5/14, 6/6 (ToO), 7/12, 7/13, 7/14
S24B (1.0 night)	Y. Suzuki	Cetus III New candidate	FOCAS (Subaru)	9/6, 11/4 (ToO)
S25A (1.0 night)	Y. Suzuki	Virgo I/ <u>Bootes</u> IV Sextans II/ Virgo III	FOCAS (Subaru)	4/20

(1) Identify member stars (for $M_{\rm V}$) (2) Derive radial velocity $v_{\rm r}$ 3 Derive of metallicity <[Fe/H]> (4) Derive its dynamical mass $M \propto \sigma_{v_r}^2$

> Na I @8194 Å and Ca II Triplet @ 8498 Å, 8542 Å and 8662 Å absorption lines are key to distinguish member stars from field halo stars.

References

[1] <u>https://www.subarutelescope.org/old/Pressrelease/2007/11/28/fig01_h.jpg</u> [2] Kirby, E. N., Cohen, J. G., Simon, J. D. et al., ApJ, 838, 83K [3] McConnachie, A. W., 2012, AJ, 144, 4M [4] Bullock, J. S. & Boylan-Kolchin, M., ARA&A, 55, 343B [5] Miyazaki, S., Komiyama, Y., Kawanomoto, S., et al. 2018, PASJ, 70S, 1M [6] Aihara, H., Arimoto, N., Armstrong, R., et al. 2018, PASJ, 70S, 4A [7] Komiyama, Y., Obuchi, Y., Nakaya, H., et al. 2018, PASJ, 70S, 2K[[8] Harris, W. E., 1996, AJ, 112, 1487H [9] Wolf, J., Martinez, G. D., Bullock, J. S., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 406, 1220W