# Enhancing scientific discoveries using Photonic Lanterns on the SCExAO system

Sébastien Vievard (Subaru Telescope, Astrobiology Center), M. Lallement, S. Leon-Saval, O. Guyon, N. Jovanovic, E. Huby, S. Lacour, J. Lozi, V. Deo, K. Ahn, YJ Kim, D. Levinstein, J. Lin, M. Fitzgerald, B. Norris, S. Sallum, P. Gatkine, T. Kotani, M. Tamura, E. Spalding, Y. Xin







© NAOJ









#### 2023 Subaru Users Meeting

#### What is a Photonic Lantern?



Schematics representation of two different approaches for the fabrication of Photonic Lanterns; Top: Multicore fibre approach; and Bottom: Standard single-mode fiber combiner/splitter technique. *From Leon-Saval et al.* "Photonic Lanterns: a study of light propagation in multimode to single-mode

converters" OSA 2010

Photonic Lantern (PL) = Fibered device with Multi-Mode input and several Single-Mode outputs
Adiabatic transition between MM to SM mode very efficient (>90%, Birks et al. 2015)
Allows for SMF-fed spectroscopy with high throughput



Photonic Lantern spectroscopy instrument concept

# Properties of Photonic Lanterns

The intensity distribution at the SMF outputs depends on the input scene



PSF at the multi mode input





Intensities at the single mode

Simulation by Yoo-Jung Kim

 $\rightarrow$  PL outputs can be used for constraining the input scene for science applications.

#### Properties of Photonic Lanterns

The intensity distribution at the SMF outputs depends on the input wavefront



B. Norris et al, Nature, 2020

 $\rightarrow$  PL outputs can be used for wavefront control

#### Photonic Lanterns capabilities in a nutshell

#### **High throughput** Enabling SMF-fed spectroscopy with high efficiency (especially in the Visible)



# Photonic Lanterns capabilities in a nutshell

**High throughput** Enabling SMF-fed spectroscopy with high efficiency (especially in the Visible)

#### Sensitive to input scene

Output flux distribution depends on the observed object



# Photonic Lanterns capabilities in a nutshell

**High throughput** Enabling SMF-fed spectroscopy with high efficiency (especially in the Visible)

#### Sensitive to input scene

Output flux distribution depends on the observed object

#### Sensitive to input wavefront Output flux distribution depends on the input wavefront



#### Science applications – SMF-fed spectroscopy

- **Spectrally dispersed wavefront sensing and close-loop control (Island Effect)** J. Lin
- Image reconstruction, spectro-imagingY-J Kim, B. Norris, O. Guyon
- **Efficient injection into photonic devices (FIRST)** *E. Huby, S. Lacour + FIRST team, Y-J Kim*
- **Emission line source detection (H-alpha accreting planets)** *M. Lallement, S. Vievard, O. Guyon*
- **Emission line source characterization (H-alpha accreting planets injection into RHEA R~60,000)** S. Vievard, O. Guyon
- **Spectro-astrometry** D. Levinstein
- **Extreme Precision Radial Velocity (EPRV)** *T. Kotani, M. Tamura, O. Guyon*

**SCExAO = Subaru Coronagraphic Extreme Adaptive Optics** 

 $\rightarrow$  High contrast imaging instrumentation



**SCExAO = Subaru Coronagraphic Extreme Adaptive Optics** 

- $\rightarrow$  High contrast imaging instrumentation
- → High Strehl PSF injected into the multi-mode photonic lantern input + single-mode fiber-fed spectroscopy









#### Visible Photonic Lantern – Data acquisition

38 spectra on the detector (19 x 2 polarizations)

- → Flux repartition between the PL outputs depends on the source position/shape and the injected wavefront
- $\rightarrow$  Spectral structures appear depending on the injected PSF size (~injected beam focal ratio or F#)



PL imaging the SCExAO internal super continuum source (F#4)

 $\rightarrow$  How to properly inject light into the Photonic Lantern?

To efficiently couple light into the PL, the PL core (~25um) must be centered on the injected PSF. This optimal position of the PL is found using **coupling maps**.

To efficiently couple light into the PL, the PL core (~25um) must be centered on the injected PSF. This optimal position of the PL is found using **coupling maps**.





#### Coupling maps for various focal ratios (or PSF size)

 $\rightarrow$  Less structures when focal ratio increases



Coupling maps for various focal ratios (or PSF size)

 $\rightarrow$  Less structures when focal ratio increases

•

❖ Spectrum reconstruction at various focal ratios
 → Spectral information loss for low focal ratios



#### Visible Photonic Lantern – Injection efficiency



19

#### Visible Photonic Lantern – Injection efficiency



#### Visible Photonic Lantern – Injection efficiency

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Observation of Humu [*Altair*]
- Raw data 5 ms exposure
- Medium seeing conditions (0.6 arcsec reported)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

• Fast residual wavefront error (~100Hz) redistributes light among the 38 spectra  $\rightarrow$  Fast chromatic modulations

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Humu [*Altair*] test on-sky:

- Large FoV f-ratio ~ 4
- Medium seeing conditions
- 5ms exposure
- 12000 frames averaged
- 1D spectrum extraction :

dd spectra (B)

Extract each row (A) and

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  Coupling efficiency estimation : 6% average - ~11% at best (in lab, 18% obtained)

# Conclusion & Perspectives

- ◆ Photonic Lanterns can enable self calibrated high efficiency spectroscopy with SMFs (deployable mini IFUs, radial velocity...) → various applications depending on the selected focal ratio
- More tests to come on-sky for instrument commissioning
- **\*** Key perspectives for the future :
  - Coupling with high resolution spectrograph (R-60,000) for H-alpha line characterization
  - Enabling Extreme Precision Radial Velocity measurments
  - Combination with photonic chips

![](_page_25_Figure_7.jpeg)

Waverfont sensing (petalling, cophasing, NCPAs, Self calibration)

# Properties of Photonic Lanterns

The intensity distribution at the SMF outputs depends on the input scene

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Numerically simulated intensity responses for a standard 6-port PL

 $\rightarrow$  PL outputs can be used for constraining the input scene for science applications.

Simulations by Yoo-Jung Kim

#### Coupling maps for 19 outputs + Total @ 765nm

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  High order structures on each output maps - smoother on the total (ratio darkest/brightest ~ 0.4)

#### Coupling maps at various wavelengths

![](_page_27_Figure_5.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  Pattern changes with the wavelength

#### Pōʻā [Algol] :

- 20ms exposure
- 3000 frames averaged
- 1D spectrum extraction : Extract each row and coadd spectra

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_6.jpeg)

35000 Photonic lantern Single Mode fiber 30000 25000 20000 ₽DO x15 15000 10000 5000 0 650 700 750 800 600 Wavelength (nm)

29

- Comparison with SMF spectroscopy (same exposure time, spectrum extracted from 3000 averaged frames)
- At best, gain x15
- Overall, x12.5 more flux

#### Correction of static aberrations: petalling / low wind effect – Work by Jon Lin

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

Correction of the four petal-piston modes out to ~2 radians RMS

# Interferometric imaging with Photonic Lanterns

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

A pairwise beam combination of PL outputs (e.g., ABCD beam combiner) to measure coherence properties in the incoming wavefront filtered by different apertures (PLPMs). (Single telescope interferometry)

$$\mathcal{V}_{ij} = \frac{(I_{ij,A} - I_{ij,B}) + (I_{ij,C} - I_{ij,D})}{I_{ij,A} + I_{ij,B} + I_{ij,C} + I_{ij,D}}$$

Backend photonic chip beam combiner

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)