Full Census of Supermassive Black Holes at z>6 Probed by Subaru and JWST

Masafusa Onoue (KIAA / Kavli IPMU joint fellow) On behalf of the SHELLQs collaboration

SUBARU USER MEETING FY2021 [039], JAN 13, 2022







High-z quasars - Unique probe of the early Universe Fundamental questions we aim to answer:



(SMBHs) exist?

***** When were they born? ★ What were their seeds? \star How did they grow in the early and late epochs of the cosmic history?

How did the host galaxies form and (co-)evolve?

 \star When and how did the first stellar-mass assembly happen? \star Did SMBHs impact the host galaxy evolution? If so, how? * Do they mark the highest density peaks of the DM distribution?

ionized?

When did re-ionization start and complete? * How did it proceed, as a function of space and time? * What provided the ionizing photons?

Why do supermassive black holes



When and how was the Universe re-

and many more!

From Matsuoka-san's slide on Tuesday



z>6 Low-luminosity Quasars

Known z>6 quasars



HSC probes the faint-end of quasar population -> sensitive to general SMBH population at z=4-7





How about Mass Function?

Schulze et al. (2015; z=1-2)



quasar UV luminosity = BH mass x acc. rate

▶ Willott et al. (2010; z=6)



BH mass / Edd ratio distribution is poorly constrained at z>2 (observationally expensive & affected by luminosity bias)

Subaru/MOIRCS follow-up

S18A-061, S19A-015, S20B-114 (PI: M.Onoue)

- Continued work from Onoue+19 (N=6)
- MOIRCS VPH-K spectroscopy (0[.].8 slit, R=1680) -
- Target: 9 HSC quasars at 6.2<z<6.9
 - 1450A absolute mag: <u>-26 < M₁₄₅₀ < -24</u> (a few mag fainter than other z>6 studies, e.g., Shen+19; Schindler+20; Yang+21)
 - Most z~6 targets from the z=6 HSC QLF sample (complete at 6.18<z<6.4 & M₁₄₅₀<-24; N=21 incl. literature)
- Exp. time: 1-4 hours on source
- Ks-band imaging for flux calib. (20.7<Ks<22.6 AB mag)



MOIRCS K-band Spectra of z>6 QSOs

▶ J0921+0007 (z_{MgII}=6.56, M₁₄₅₀=-26.2, Ks=20.7)



Total **Power-law continuum** Fell (from 1Zw1 template; Tsuzuki+06)



MOIRCS K-band Spectra of z>6 QSOs



z>6 SMBH mass - L_{bol} / Edd Ratio distribution



BLR Radius (+ R - L relation) **BLR** Velocity

SUBARU USER MEETING FY2021 [039], JAN 13, 2022



z>6 SMBH mass - L_{bol} / Edd Ratio distribution



BLR Radius (+ R - L relation) **BLR** Velocity

SUBARU USER MEETING FY2021 [039], JAN 13, 2022

9

z>6 SMBH mass - L_{bol} / Edd Ratio distribution

BLR Radius (+ R - L relation) **BLR** Velocity

(iv) z=7 (SWIMS spectra taken for 7 z>6.5 quasars in S21A)

(iii) reproduce z=4-6 LF & MF from BH seed models (with W.Li & K.Inayoshi at KIAA)

Cy1JWST GO project: Full Census of SMBHs and Host Galaxies at z=6(50hr, PI: M.Onoue, Co-PI: Y.Matsuoka, X.Ding, J.Silverman, T.Izumi + 40 Co-Is)

JWST targets: faintest z~6 quasars from HSC

12 faintest quasars from the QLF sample -> fair comparison with low-z quasars

- NIRCam Imaging (FoV: 2.2x2.2 amin²)
 - Filter: F150W + F356W (straddling 4000A break)
- Quasar- Host decomposition -> host stellar light detection!
 - **M***, age, companions, environment, etc. -

 Mean (and scatter of) M_{BH} / M* ratio at z=6 -> Do BHs and galaxies grow together, or one went faster than the other?

- NIRSpec Fixed-Slit spectroscopy
 - Grism: G395M (R=1000), 2.87-5.27µm (rest 4000-7300Å, incl. many Balmer lines)
- Rest-optical emission line measurements
 - Hβ-based **M**_{BH}, (-> mass function), [OIII] gas outflow, etc.

-> ALMA dynamical mass measurements suggest M_{BH}/M_{*/dyn} has little redshift evolution up to z=6 (e.g., lzumi+19)

Summary

- constraints on the BH mass and Eddington ratio distributions are poor at z>2
- sample is under analysis.
- lowest-luminosity (L_{bol}~10⁴⁵ erg s⁻¹) quasars at z=6, we will derive the comprehensive picture of SMBHs, host galaxies, and their relative growth within the first billion years of the universe

SMBH cosmic evolution has been discussed mostly with luminosity functions, whereas observational

Deep MOIRCS spectroscopy of z>6 low-luminosity quasars reveals a wide diversity of SMBH masses (log M_{BH}/M_{sun} > 7.6) and Eddington ratios (log L_{bol}/L_{Edd} >-1). The majority are well matured SMBHs (log M_{BH}=8.5 M_{sun}, log L_{bol}/L_{Edd} = -0.5) in the fist billion years of the universe. SWIMS data for our z > 6.5

♦ JWST provides a unique opportunity to probe the least-biased SMBH sample at high redshift. With 12

POSTDOC SCIENCE DAYS, KIAA, DEC 23, 2021, MASAFUSA ONOUE

Backup Slides

Characterizing Lowest-luminosity Quasars at z=6

Discovery spectra of 12 JWST targets (y_{HSC}=23.0-24.8 AB mag, from Matsuoka+18's QLF sample) -> least-biased SMBH sample!

Redshift Evolution of M_{BH}/M* Ratio

Completely different predictions due to different modeling of AGN feedback

- NIRCam Imaging (FoV: 2.2x2.2 amin²)
 - Filter: F150W + F356W (straddling 4000A break)
- Quasar- Host decomposition
 -> host stellar light detection!
 - M*, age, companions, environment, etc.

► NIRCam simulation: J0859 (M_{BH}=10^{7.6}M_{sun}, M_{*}=10^{10.7}M_{sun}), Courtesy of X.Ding

The first trial of z=6 BHMF measurement

Assumption:

- z=6 type-I QLF of Matusoka+18c
- Obscuration correction: 80% (Vito+18)
- ERDF: Gaussian shape

- No MBH dependence on Edd ratio distribution, no completeness correction

Todo:

- implement sample incompleteness
- deal with MBH's systematic uncertainty (0.5 dex; Shen13)
- try Schechter function?
- compare with low-z measurements (for samples whose luminosity ranges are comparable)

SMBH vs Host Dynamical Mass

• Dynamical mass vs SMBH mass at z=6 (Neeleman+21)

BH growth first and host stellar mass growth next?

• Schematic diagram of SMBH-galaxy co-evolution (Volonteri12)

Looking behind the Tip of the Iceberg

▶ BH mass vs bolometric luminosity plane of z>6.5 QSOs (Yang+21)

It is essential to probe lower-luminosity quasars to understand the demographics of high-z SMBHs (The most luminous QSOs could just be the outliers among the whole SMBH population)

Eddington Ratio Distribution

cf. Jin Wu's talk yesterday

Do All z>6 SMBHs have extreme accretion rates?

ALMA Views of Co-evolution at z>6

Less-biased low-luminosity quasars essential to trace the general SMBH trend Consistent with a recent hydrodynamical model prediction

See also Wang+15; Izumi+18; Pensabene+20; Marshall+20ab; Neeleman+21

ALMA Views of Co-evolution at z>6

Is M_{dyn} really a good estimator of M_{bulge}? (gas,DM,disk inclination, etc.) -18; 20ab; Do we miss undermassive BH population?

