EAO AND SUBARU

Jessica Dempsey

Deputy Director, East Asian Observatory





Incorporated late 2014 Concept: "Think Big" Ability to expand to larger projects, more telescope access and greater leadership

EAST ASIAN OBSERVATORY

NA

NAOG

By linking the regions, the funding can be lifted up to a level above just astronomy/ science (the ESO for Asia)



- EAO took the opportunity to assume JCMT operations
- Why? Perfect basis for future EAO projects
 - transferable to other EAO projects
 - bigger, better instruments are possible
 - cooperation and push out past the cutting edge
 - High level of compatibility with existing East Asian interests
 - ALMA
 - VLBI EHT
 - GLT
 - Nobeyama and ASTE

The UK and Canada continue as operational funding partners in JCMT

• Operations: tested and efficient by design and adversity - software, systems and policies are highly

Instrumentation: new instrument plans perfectly tap into the skills and interests of EAO regional labs -

• Science: JCMT becomes testing ground for how best to merge and enhance regional scientific



0-

WHAT EAO WANTS TO DO

Combine and focus the vast potential of the East Asian Regions

Stronger collaborations to improve science quality and diversity

Increase student opportunities to broaden experience

Multi-telescope access



Increase scope and size of instrument projects



JCMT OPERATIONS

- Observing time distribution: 50% Large Programs; 50% PI Proposals TAC: one unified TAC process - priority on telescope by science ranking Observers: Scheduled Projects send Observers, observer project priority if in weather grade - we have had over 250 East Asian astronomers visit since March 2015 Queue Mode: Flexible Schedule according to Weather Currently partial on-site and partial remote night observing Priority placed on promoting collaboration between participating scientists





Encourage (carrot)



Pl programs

HOW TO CREATE COLLABORATION?

Enforce (stick)



Large programs



- 50% of each semester's science time is allocated to PI science
 - We have a single TAC reviewing all regional submissions
 - We have a fractional allocation algorithm designed to encourage collaborations between regions with experience (but little \$ therefore allocation) and less experienced regions with a lot of time to play with

P.I. TIME ALLOCATIONS

- The key is to reward P.I.s who look to collaborate strongly between regions - and so we try to make that as easy as possible
- The TAC is charged with over-filling our flexible queues by 30-50%, and to maintain an eye on the relative regional allocations (which are scaled by financial contribution)
- We leave a fraction (currently 10%) open to 'Best Science' and this is not debited against any regional allocation







Ribbon shows collaboration Colour = PI region W dth Arc length sigators total # investigators











LESSONS LEARNED FROM LARGE PROGRAMS

- The UKIRT UKIDSS survey was incredibly successful - UKIRT continues to be one of the top publication-producing telescopes on Maunakea
- JCMT sought to engage with the JCMT Legacy Programs in the same way - 6 large 5year projects
- As of now, we are yet to see the same returns why?

- UKIDSS was perhaps unique highly useful across a range of science, easy archival access
- Review of the Legacy Programs showed that lack of easily accessed (or understood) data products, and lack of accountability by the PIs meant that we had no way of ensuring productivity
- We sought to change this with the EAO Large Programs



JCMT LARGE PROGRAMS

- Requirements for consideration included demonstration of interest and active collaboration from at least two EAO regions
 - After successful programs were awarded time a period of 'open enrollment' allowed any EAO regional astronomer to sign up as a participant in any Large Program



- Additionally, PIs from each region must be selected
- A mid-term review is required in order to demonstrate adherence to their publication plan, with the TAC and finally Board, able to rescind further time allocations if performance is not good enough





Over 700 astronomers from six regions involved in 7 programs

CHINA

KOREA

Regional participation is roughly proportional to community size (with Canada and Taiwan punching well above their weight)



1. 2015 - 2016:

0

EAO incorporated JCMT operations assumed JCMT Instrument upgrades begin JCMT joins EHT

2.2017

Access to 17A/17B Subaru time Access to 17A/17B SMA time EHT and standalone VLBI

TIMELINE FOR EAO

3. 2018...

UKIRT operational partner? Full Partnership with Subaru?









GEMINI

UKIRT

SUBARU

KECK



 3 nights of DDT in semesters 17A and 17B Strong interest - 17 proposals, over 21 nights time requested Assessed by Subaru TAC • 2 projects awarded time in 17A EAO regional astronomers - including Japanese investigators - were encouraged to collaborate Open access enrollment to successful projects for all regional astronomers

EAO TIME AT SUBARU





EAST ASIA-JAPAN COLLABORATIONS

MAKE GIFS AT GIFSOUP.COM

Hardware contributions to ALMA: EA FEIC and now band-1 receivers under construction

Korea now working on ASTE receiver systems and FPGA spectrometer

Optical hardware contributions: Taiwan delivered filter exchanger for HSC, and metrology camera and PFI for PFS Korean contribution to ALMA

EACOA fellows at NAOJ: Kenneth Wong, Quang Nguyen-Luong, Ken Chen, Yasuhiro Hasegawa

Software support for Subaru (from ASIAA, under discussion)

Chinese participation in TMT, PFS





SUBARU PARTNERSHIP

Subaru has a bright future and powerful scientific impact potential Why would international partnerships be good for Subaru? New Users are like Detectors: the more you have, the more (and better) science you can do! If you had a strong new Japanese University or Institute willing to provide funds, scientists, instruments and staff: how would you approach integrating them into your Observatory and community? The most successful (and long-lived) partnerships focussed on integrating new users/partners into their community: if they can contribute on every level to your operation, you will see greater returns - far greater than the value added from funding contributions alone



