Jupiter Trojan Survey with Hyper Suprime-Cam

Tsuyoshi Terai (Subaru Telescope) Fumi Yoshida (NAOJ)

Introduction : Jupiter Trojans

- Two asteroid swarms around the L4/L5 points of Jupiter's orbit
- The spectra are distinct from main-belt asteroids, but are similar to those of comets
- Still unknown from where and how they were captured into the Trojan regions



- Formed near or beyond Jupiter's orbit ?
- Gas drag? Collisions? Chaotic capture? Jumping Jupiter?

Introduction : Jupiter Trojans

"Nice" model :

The outer planets migrated owing to interaction with the planetesimal disk (e.g. Tsiganis et al. 2005)

Jupiter Trojans (JTs) could have been transported from distant regions during the planet migration (e.g. Morbidelli et al. 2005)



JTs can provide constraints on the scenario of planet migration and dynamical evolution of small bodies

Approach of research

- Size distribution reflects accretional and collisional evolutions
- Transition of impact strength law creates "wavy" structure
 - \rightarrow depends on body properties
 - ightarrow useful clues of the origin





Previous surveys

- Yoshida & Nakamura (2005)
 - Jupiter L4 survey by S-Cam
 - Detected 51 km-size JTs
 - Power-law break at D ~ 5 km
- Wong & Brown (2015)
 - Jupiter L4 survey by S-Cam
 - Detected 557 JTs with D < 50 km
 - Size distribution using 150 JTs
 - Power-law break at D ~ 7 km



Another target : Hilda asteroids

- Hilda group : an asteroid population in the 3:2 mean motion resonance with Jupiter (semi-major axis ~ 4.0 au)
- Dominated by P type asteroids unlike JT population
- JTs and Hildas have the same origin or not ?





Observation

Jupiter L4 survey with Hyper Suprime-Cam in 2015 Mar

- Near ecliptic and opposition fields covering ~ 29 deg²
- r band 240-sec exposure x 3 visits in ~ 1 hour
- Detection limit (50% efficiency): 24.4 mag (D ~ 1 km)

Extracting JT/Hilda candidates from the source catalogs created by HSC pipeline processing





JT / Hilda samples



- R: heliocentric distance
- H_r : r band absolute magnitude

Motion along ecliptic longitude (arcsec/hr)

Size distribution : Trojans

- Assuming a geometric albedo of 0.07 (Grav+ 2011)
- Well approximated by a single-slope power law

$$N(< D) = N(< 1 \text{ km}) D^{-b}$$

- \rightarrow b = 1.84 \pm 0.05
- No feature such as break or roll-over was found in D < ~10 km</p>



Size distribution : Trojans

- Size distribution of L4 JTs combined with cataloged objects and our sample
- Likely to have a power law break around 10 km in diameter
- No significant wavy structure compared to main-belt asteroids



V-band absolute magnitude

Note: assuming V - r = 0.25 mag (Szabo et al. 2007)

Size distribution : Trojans

- Wave amplitude depends on the shape of strength law
- Indicating different composition and/or internal structure between JTs and main-belt asteroids



Subaru Users' Meeting FY2016

Size distribution : Hildas

- Assuming a geometric albedo of 0.055 (Grav+ 2012)
- Can be approximated by a single-slope power law
 N(< D) = N(<1 km) D^{-b}

 \rightarrow b = 1.89 \pm 0.11

The best-fit power-law slope is similar to that of JTs



Comparison

- Size distributions of JTs and Hildas normalized at D = 2 km
- The two curves agree well with each other through km size range
- JTs and Hildas have the same/similar impact strength parameters



(Normalized at D = 2 km)

 Size distribution of JTs shows a single-slope power law without a slope break in km size range

 No significant wavy structure has been found on JTs' size distribution, indicating different impact strength parameters from main-belt asteroids

 Size distribution of Hildas well agrees with that of JTs in km size range, suggesting the same origin between the two populations