INSTITUTE FOR THE PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS OF THE UNIVERSE

Dark Energy Survey

Why do particle physicists care ?

Hiro Aihara IPMU & Department of Physics University of Tokyo

HEP Roadmap: Science Questions

• The question of mass:

How do elementary particles acquire their mass? How is the electroweak symmetry broken? Does the Higgs boson –postulated within the Standard Model- exist? **The question of undiscovered principles of nature:** Are there new quantum dimensions corresponding to Supersymmetry? Are there hidden additional dimensions of space and time? Are there new forces of nature?

The question of the dark universe:

What is the dark matter in the universe?

What is the nature of dark energy?

The question of unification:

Is there a universal interaction from which all known fundamental forces, including gravity, can be derived?

The question of flavor:

Why are there three families of matter? Why are the neutrino masses so small? What is the origin of CP violation?

What drives the universe to accelerate ?

For particle physicists who take the field theory seriously, the simplest solution would be a vacuum energy.

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G (T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} g_{\mu\nu})$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{vac}} = -\frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} g_{\mu\nu} = -\rho_{\text{vac}} g_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{(The vacuum is Lorentz scalar.)}$$

$$p_{\text{vac}} = -\rho_{\text{vac}}$$

$$\rho_{\text{vac}} = \rho_{\Lambda} \equiv \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G}$$

A is not a dimensionless parameter. It has dimensions of L^{-2} .

SAC HSC Workshop @ NAOJ, Jan 29, 2008

A gravitational length scale

A dimensional analysis For $\hbar = 1$ and c = 1, $[L] = [E]^{-1} = [M]^{-1} = [T]$. $L'_{\rm p} = \sqrt{8\pi G} \sim 10^{-34} {\rm m}$ $M'_{\rm P} = \frac{1}{L'} \sim 10^{18} \times 10^9 \text{ eV} = 10^{18} \text{ GeV}$ $\Lambda^{\text{expected}} \sim \frac{1}{(L'_{\text{P}})^2} = (M'_{\text{P}})^2 = (10^{18} \text{GeV})^2$ $\rho_{\rm vac}^{\rm expected} = \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} = (M_{\rm P}')^4 = (10^{18} {\rm GeV})^4 \sim 10^{112} {\rm \ erg/cm}^3$ $\rho_{\rm vac}^{\rm observed} \sim (10^{-3} \text{ eV})^4 \sim 10^{-8} \text{ erg/cm}^3 \sim 10^{-120} \rho_{\rm vac}^{\rm expected}$

Why not exactly zero?

- Gauge symmetry → Massless gauge bosons (photons and gluons)
 - Massive gauge bosons (W^{\pm} and Z) \rightarrow Symmetry breaking mechanism via Higgs scalar particle
 - Tiny but non-zero mass of neutrinos => Existence of extremely massive neutral particles (10¹⁴⁻¹⁶ GeV)



Deviation from zero implies the symmetry breaking (often dynamical in nature) mechanism. Therefore, a non-zero, tiny value of vacuum energy is intriguing. We smell New Physics behind it.

Understanding Dark Energy

- The key to understand DE is the behavior of the equation state (w=pressure/energy density) as a function of time measured by the redshift z.
- The goals of a dark energy observational program may be reached through measurement of the expansion history of the universe (measured by the dependence on z of luminosity distance, angular-diameter distance, expansion rate and volume element), and through measurement of the growth rate of structure (suppressed during epochs when the dark energy dominates).

Dark Energy Survey

- The proposed observational program focuses on four techniques.
 - 1. Baryon Acoustic oscillations as observed in large-scale surveys of the spatial distribution of galaxies.
 - 2. Galaxy cluster surveys, which measure the spatial density and distribution of galaxy clusters.
 - 3. Supernova surveys using Type Ia supernovae as standard candles to determine the luminosity distance versus redshift, which is directly affected by the dark energy.
 - 4. Weak lensing surveys, which measure the distotion of background images due to bending of light as it passes by galaxies or clusters of galaxies.

Targeted errors of planned surveys $w = w_0 + (1 - a)w_a$, where $a = (1 + z)^{-1}$ \mathcal{W}_0 W_{a} Stage III 4% ±0.3 Stage IV 1-2% ±0.1 Stage III: HSC, DES, Pan-STARRS, BOSS Stage IV : JDEM, LSST, WFMOS If w_a is non-zero, dark energy has most likely a dynamical origin.

SAC HSC Workshop @ NAOJ, Jan 29, 2008

National Academy of Science, Beyond Einstein Report

Committee Members Astrophysics Physics Eng/Mgmt

- Eric Adelberger, U Washington
- William Adkins, Adkins Strategies, LLC
- Thomas Appelquist, *Yale*
- James Barrowman, NASA (retired)
- David Bearden, Aerospace Corp.
- Mark Devlin, U Pennsylvania
- Joseph Fuller, *Futron Corp*.
- Karl Gebhardt, U Texas
- William Gibson, SWRI
- Fiona Harrison, Caltech
- Charles Kennel, UCSD, co-chair

Committee Staff

- Brian Dewhurst, *Study Director, BPA*
- Sandra J. Graham, *Study Director, SSB*

- Andrew Lankford, UC Irvine
- Dennis McCarthy, Swales (retired)
- Stephan Meyer, U. Chicago
- Joel Primack, UC Santa Cruz
- Lisa Randall, Harvard
- Joseph Rothenberg, Universal Space Network, co-chair
- Craig Sarazin, U Virginia
- James Ulvestad, NRAO
- Clifford Will, Washington University
- Michael Witherell, UC Santa Barbara
- Edward Wright, UCLA

Oversaw Review

- Martha Haynes, Cornell
- Kenneth Keller, JHU

Joint Dark Energy Mission: Science Goals

- Beyond Einstein science
 - precisely measure the expansion history of the universe to determine whether the contribution of dark energy to the expansion rate varies with time
- Broader science
 - investigate the formation and evolution of galaxies
 - determine the rate of star formation and how that rate depends on environment
- Missions
 - SNAP: SN & WL
 - Destiny: SN & WL
 - ADEPT: SN & BAO
 - [DUNE: WL & BAO]





Recommendation 1

- NASA and DOE should proceed immediately with a competition to select a Joint Dark Energy Mission for a 2009 new start. The broad mission goals in the Request for Proposal should be
- (1) to determine the properties of dark energy with high precision and
- (2) to enable a broad range of astronomical investigations.
 The committee encourages the Agencies to seek as wide a variety of mission concepts and partnerships as possible.

There are four "bins" of complexity beginning with JDEM on the low end and culminating with the large observatories (LISA and Con-X) as most complex. Approximate development cost (Phase B, C, and D) and schedule regimes are as follows for the Beyond Einstein mission areas:

- Large Observatories (LISA and Con-X)
- BHFP (EXIST, CASTER)
- JDEM (SNAP, ADEPT, DESTINY)
- IP (CIP, CMBPol, EPIC-F, EPIC-I)
- \$2B 8 years
 \$1.5B 7 years
 \$1B 6 years
 \$1B 6 years

Note that inclusion of launch service (\$200M or \$300M) and MO&DA (varies but on the order of \$25M per year) is above and beyond the development cost numbers noted above.

Image: Second state of the second s

- Hope I was able to convey to you a (particle) physicists' motivation for studying Dark Energy. It is fundamental and, after all, mysterious.
- Is it dynamical at all ?
- Many ambitious projects and tight international competition.
- Hope we bring in many bright people to the HSC project and make a compelling (unified) survey proposal.