



Cosmic growth history of supermassive black holes


Subaru Telescope S04b Intensive Program

K. Sekiguchi, M. Akiyama and The SXDS Team




Subaru Telescope Users' Meeting
December 20, 2005 (HST)

The spectrum of the XBG can be explained by the X-ray luminosity function and the absorption. (Ueda et al. 2003)




X-ray Luminosity - Redshift Distribution



Most of them have hard X-ray luminosity comparable to QSOs.




Luminosity (or mass) dependent density evolution.




Number density of the AGNs



The BH mass growth curve



Background : ASCA+Chandra Results Lack of Obscured QSOs



Luminosity dependence

- Lack of obscured QSOs

No significant redshift dependence

- But the number of the high-redshift luminous AGN is limited



Questions:

- 1) QSO number density @ $z > 2$
- 2) Nature of the optically faint Hard X-ray sources (?).
Number density of the obscured AGNs @ $z > 1$



The **Subaru/XMM-Newton Deep Survey (SXDS)** is a deep optical / X-ray survey covering more than one square degree.

The goal of the SXDS is to provide an accurate census of objects in the early Universe, by imaging to very faint magnitudes over a sufficiently large region to be relatively immune to cosmic variance.

The core of the survey consists of optical imaging with **Suprime-Cam** on **Subaru Telescope** and X-ray imaging with the **EPIC** camera on the ESA **XMM-Newton** satellite.




SXDS Field

02h18m



-5°00' →




1.3°




X-ray image


>2000 X-ray sources in ~7 FMOS FOV



Optical image




Large number of “optically-faint” ($f_X/f_R > +1$) X-ray sources appear in the deep X-ray surveys.




Fraction of the “optically-faint” X-ray sources

> 30 %
at 10^{-14} erg s $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$

Contribute
~20% of
the CXB



Spectroscopic follow-up of the X-ray sources



FOCAS/Subaru
observations
(small circles)

2dF/AAT
observations
(entire area)



FOCAS/MOS spectroscopy

S03B: SX (SXDS), YO (Yamada Open-use), SA (Sekiguchi + Akiyama Obs. Time)

150+Y47 25 Masks, 300B+Y47 4(+1) Masks

Day		# of Masks Obs.		
Oct. 06, 22:00-28:00	Clear	2	2 x 150	SA
Oct. 27, 23:00-29:00	Cirrus	2	1 x 150, 1 x 300	YO
Oct. 28, 23:45-29:00	Clear	3	3 x 150	YO
Oct. 29, 23:45-29:00	Clouds	2	2 x 150	YO
Oct. 30, 21:00-29:00	Cloud Out			YO
Nov. 26, 23:00-26:00	Cloud Out			SX
Nov. 27, 19:00-26:00	Cloud Out			SX
Nov. 28, 19:00-26:00	Cloud Out			SX
Nov. 29, 19:00-26:00	Cloud Out			SX
Nov. 30, 19:00-26:00	Cloud Out			SX
Dec. 18, 19:00-24:30	Clear	3	3 x 150	SX
Dec. 19, 19:00-24:30	Clear	4	4 x 150	SX
Dec. 20, 19:00-24:30	Clear	3	2 x 150, 1 x 300	SX
Dec. 21, 19:00-24:30	MOS Trouble			SX
Dec. 22, 19:00-24:30	Clear	4	4 x 150	SX
Dec. 23, 19:00-24:30	Clear	3	2 x 150, 1 x 300	SX
Dec. 25, 19:00-24:30	Clear	3	2 x 150, 1 x 300	SA

(29)



Total of 29 masks (+1 FOCAS/MOS pilot obs.)

815 objects are observed, 517 are identified.


Secure ID (+Less secure ID) / Observed in total

X-ray Source : **103 (+5) / 119**

Optical Faint X-ray Source : **43 (+11) / 73**



“optically-faint” X-ray sources = “obscured QSOs”



Half of them are identified with obscured QSOs !



Rest of them could not be identified, because they do not show emission line in the optical band.



*Are there many obscured AGNs exist
in the early Universe?*



$z > 3$ AGN candidates



FOCAS/MOS spectroscopy

S04B: Intensive Program (300 lines/mm)

Day		# of Masks	Obs.
Oct. 15	Clear	1	8 x 1,800 sec
Oct. 16	Clear	2	5 x 1,800 sec 6 x 1,800 sec
Nov. 09	Clear	2	6 x 1,800 sec 6 x 1,800 sec
Nov. 10	Clear	3	5 x 1,800 sec 4 x 1,800 sec (150 lines/mm) 3 x 1,800 sec (150 lines/mm)
Nov. 11	Clear	2	6 x 1,800 sec 6 x 1,800 sec
Dec. 5	Clear	2	5 x 1,800 sec 4 x 1,800 sec


Total of 10 masks (+2 repeat from the previous year)

Plus 5 nights during S05B (Akiyama et al.)
to obtain 12 more masks.




Preliminary results

Broad-line AGN



Narrow-line AGN



Preliminary Results

Subaru/FOCAS Spectroscopy of 25 B-drop AGN s
(2003/09 – 2005/11)

Class A1 : $z>3$ & Spitzer/IRAC detection	10
Class A2 : $z>3$ & No Spitzer/IRAC detection	1
Class B1: Spitzer/IRAC detection (too faint to Obs.)	2
Class B2: Spitzer/IRAC detection (ID ? : too faint to Obs.)	3
Class C1 : B-drop No EL, Spitzer/IRAC detection	1
Class C2 : B-drop No EL, No Spitzer/IRAC detection	3
Class D : B-drop foreground object	3
Class E : AGN (not the B-drop object)	2

Firm $z>3$ sample = Class A12 = 11 objects

Possible $z>3$ sample = Class A12 + Class B1 = 13 objects

Maximal = Class A12 + Class B12 + Class C12 = 20 objects




- Redshift distribution shows some peaks.


(Clustering of the AGNs ?)




Large scale (60Mpc) clustering of AGNs @ $z \sim 1.0$




Large scale (60Mpc) clustering of AGNs @ $z \sim 1.4$



Large scale (60Mpc) clustering of AGNs @ $z \sim 1.6$



Large scale (60Mpc) clustering of AGNs @ $z \sim 2.3$



Next step:

**VLT/VIMOS Spectroscopy (~60 hours)
Covers entire SXDF**

Quantitative analysis on the AGN clustering

